

**Mid-term evaluation protocol
of the implementation of an individual research plan
of the PhD student at the First Doctoral School of the Medical University of Gdańsk**

The mid-term evaluation was carried out on the basis of:

- doctoral student's report (Annex 1 to the protocol),
- opinion of the supervisor / supervisors / assistant supervisor (Annex 2 to the protocol),
- an oral or multimedia presentation by a doctoral student,
- discussions with the doctoral student.

The implementation of the doctoral student's individual research plan was assessed remotely via the "Zoom.us" platform. The course of the public part of the mid-term evaluation commission meeting was recorded on video.

Assessed PhD student

professional title, name and surname of the doctoral student	M.D. Patel Agastya
supervisor/supervisors	prof. dr hab. Jarosław Kobiela
assistant supervisor	Not applicable
scientific discipline	Medical Sciences
the title of the doctoral dissertation project	Transcutaneous Laryngeal Ultrasonography: Assessment of diagnostic accuracy and learning curve

Mid-term evaluation committee comments:

The Committee composed of Prof. Dr. Hab. Agnieszka Kołacińska-Wow (external reviewer), prof. dr hab. Mariusz Siemiński (internal reviewer), and dr hab. Marcin Okrój (chairman) got acquainted with the documents sent before the meeting that included a report of progress in the realization of an individual research plan (prepared by a Ph.D. student), and a statement signed by the supervisor prof. dr hab. Jarosław Kobiela, as well as a certificate for active attendance at the international scientific conference. During the meeting, the Committee listened to the multimedia presentation provided in English that summarized the background of the project and so far obtained results. The student described the progress in reaching his scientific goals, which showed a high degree of advancement, even though the manuscript planned in the individual research plan to be submitted before the mid-term was not completed at the time of report preparation. As an explanation, the student put up an argument about his academic training abroad which needed some preparation and extra time. On the other hand, the fact that the data are already obtained, preparation, and submission of this manuscript seems to be a matter of (short) time. While assessing the presentation, the Committee had the impression that M.D. Patel is fully aware of project details and has all the necessary hands-on experience as well as all methodological skills and merit knowledge. In their unanimous verdict, the Committee does not see any danger for the further successful continuation of the Ph.D. project according to the

individual research plan. In conclusion, the committee expressed its positive opinion on the mid-term evaluation of Dr. Patel's progress in preparation for the doctoral dissertation.

Mid-term evaluation result of doctoral student Agastya Patel: positive / ~~negative~~ *

Name and surname of the doctoral student

* check appropriate

Detailed justification by the Commission regarding the result of the mid-term evaluation:

The committee made a positive decision on the mid-term evaluation of the doctoral student based on the results presented during the presentation, which constituted a coherent set of data consistent with the planned topic of the doctoral thesis, and based on the submitted documentation. It is worth emphasizing the utilitarian nature of the results obtained and the possibility of their efficient and quick implementation into clinical practice, particularly in the education and training of young medical staff. In the Commission's opinion, this increases the chance of publishing the results in a scientific journal with a high impact factor.

The mid-term evaluation committee composed of:

- 1) dr hab Marcin Okrój, prof. GUMed. – Chairman of the mid-term evaluation committee
- 2) prof. dr hab. Agnieszka Kołacińska-Wow
- 3) prof. dr hab. Mariusz Siemiński

The mid-term evaluation was carried out on 19th September 2023

Attachments to the protocol:

1. Doctoral student's report
2. Opinion of the supervisor / supervisors / assistant supervisor *

* check appropriate

Międzyuczelniany Wydział
Biotechnologii UG-GUMed
ZAKŁAD BIOLOGII KOMÓRKI I
IMMUNOLOGII


dr hab. Marcin Okrój

Signature of the chairman
of the mid-term evaluation committee